

REMARKS

[0002] Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all of the claims of the application. The status of the claims is as follows:

- Claims 1, 4-12, 15-19, 21-23, 26-47 and 49 are currently pending
- Claims 1, 12, 23, 33, 41, 43 and 49 are amended herein

[0003] Support for the amendments to claims 1, 12, 23, 33, 41, 43 and 49 is found in the specification at least at paragraphs 30 and 43 of the printed patent publication.

35 USC § 103 Claim Rejections

[0004] Claims 1-10, 12-23, 26-30, 32-40 and 43-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) for obviousness over U.S. Patent No. 7,308,341 to Schofield et al. (hereinafter, "Schofield") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,735,503 to Ames (hereinafter, "Ames"). Claims 11 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) for obviousness over Schofield in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2008/0195261 to Breed (hereinafter, "Breed"). Claims 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) for obviousness over Schofield. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection. Nevertheless, without conceding the propriety of the rejection, Applicant herein amends independent claims 1, 12, 23, 33, 43 and 49.

Independent Claim 1

[0005] Applicant submits that Schofield and/or Ames do not teach or suggest at least the following features as recited in this claim (with emphasis added):

- generating a **browsable network document in a markup language** which includes the user-friendly representation of the vehicle condition corresponding to the vehicle diagnostics code; and
- **transmitting** the browsable network document from the vehicle to a remote client over a network, where the user-friendly representation of vehicle condition can be browsed, wherein the browsable network document **includes an entry field to allow the remote client to enter a request for data from the vehicle**

[0006] The Office Action admitted that (Office Action, page 4):

- However, Schotfield is silent as to the specifics of generating a browsable network document which includes the data from the plurality of systems of the vehicle which has been collected and the explanation of the vehicle condition and transmitting the browsable network document from the vehicle to a remote client where vehicle system data and the explanation of the vehicle condition can be browsed and a severity ranking of the vehicle condition.

[0007] The Office Action then relied on Ames as a secondary reference for teaching the features in the claim. However, Ames fails to cure the deficiency. In Ames, vehicles use public switched **telephone** network (PSTN) to communicate with a service management application, and no “**browsable network document in a markup language**” is disclosed. The Office Action cites col. 5, ll. 16-51 as allegedly teaching this feature. However, the cited paragraph disclosed about how a subscriber **accesses** a communication network, not about “**transmitting** the browsable network document from the vehicle **to a remote client**” as recited in claim 1. Furthermore, Ames fails to disclose or teach that “the browsable network document **includes an entry field to**

allow the remote client to enter a request for data from the vehicle" as recited in claim 1.

[0008] Consequently, Schofield and/or Ames do not teach or suggest all of the elements and features of this claim. Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw the rejection of this claim.

Independent Claims 12, 23, 33, 43 and 49

[0009] Applicant respectfully submits that claims 12, 23, 33, 43 and 49 are allowable for reasons similar to those discussed above with respect to claim 1. Specifically, Applicant submits that at least the following features are missing in Schofield and/or Ames:

- generating a **browsable network document in a markup language** which includes the user-friendly representation of the vehicle condition corresponding to the vehicle diagnostics code; and
- **transmitting** the browsable network document from the vehicle to a remote client over a network, where the user-friendly representation of vehicle condition can be browsed, wherein the browsable network document **includes an entry field to allow the remote client to enter a request for data from the vehicle**

[0010] Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw the rejections of these claims.

Dependent Claims 4-11, 15-19, 21, 22, 26-32, 34-42, and 44-47

[0011] Claims 4-11, 15-19, 21, 22, 26-32, 34-42, and 44-47 ultimately depend from one of independent claims 1, 12, 23, 33, or 43. As discussed above, claims 1, 12, 23, 33, and 43 are allowable over Schofield and/or Ames. Therefore, claims 4-11, 15-19,

21, 22, 26-32, 34-42, and 44-47 are allowable over Schofield and/or Ames by virtue of their dependency from an allowable base claim. These claims may also be allowable for the additional features that each recites. Furthermore, the other cited reference, Breed, does not cure the deficiency about those claim features not taught by Schofield and Ames. Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw the rejections of these claims.

Conclusion

[0012] Applicant submits that all pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the application. If any issues remain that prevent issuance of this application, **Applicant requests that the Examiner contact the undersigned representative before issuing a subsequent Action.**

Respectfully Submitted,

Lee & Hayes, PLLC
Representative for Applicant

/Chung-Chieh Fang, Reg. No. L0473/ Dated: 11/9/2009
Chung-Chieh Fang (chungchieh@LeeHayes.com; 509-944-4778)
Registration No. L0473

David A. Divine (daved@LeeHayes.com; 509-944-4733)
Registration No. 51,275